wo

Case 2:09-mj-03230-LOA Document 4 Filed 06/01/09

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

JUN 0 12009

RECEIVED

LODGED

COPY

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
DEPUT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.				BY	DEPUTY
		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
Hector Manuel Montijo-Valdez		Case Number:	09-3230M		
and was repre	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 esented by counsel. I conclude by a prepond ne defendant pending trial in this case.	(f), a detention hearing wa erance of the evidence the	as held on <u>6/1</u> e defendant is a	i <u>/09</u> . Defendant o a serious flight risk a	was present nd order the
		IDINGS OF FACT			
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:				
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal histor	y.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.				
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	ar in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _	ye	ars imprisonm	ent.	
The 0	Court incorporates by reference the material f the hearing in this matter, except as noted	findings of the Pretrial Sein the record.	rvices Agency v	vhich were reviewed	by the Court
	CON	CLUSIONS OF LAW			
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendar No condition or combination of condition	it will flee. s will reasonably assure t	he appearance	of the defendant as	required.
	DIRECTION	S REGARDING DETENT	ION		
a corrections appeal. The	defendant is committed to the custody of the facility separate, to the extent practicable, from defendant shall be afforded a reasonable op States or on request of an attorney for the the United States Marshal for the purpose	om persons awaiting or se portunity for private consu Government, the person in	itation with defe charge of the c	ense counsel. On or corrections facility sha	der of a court
	APPEALS A	ND THIRD PARTY RELE	ASE		
IT IS	ORDERED that should an appeal of this de	tention order be filed with	the District Co	urt, it is counsel's res	sponsibility to

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: 6-1-49 \_\_\_

Lawrence O. Anderson

United States Magistrate Judge